response actions at the Thermo-Chem, Inc. Site in Muskegon, Michigan. The Department of Justice approved the settlement as set forth in 122(g)(4) of CERCLA.

DATES: Comments must be provided on or before March 7, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to James Hahnenberg, Mail Code MFA–10J, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604, and should refer to: In the Matter of Thermo-Chem, Inc., Docket No. V–W–96–C–319.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ignacio L. Arrazola, Mail Code CS–29A, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following parties executed binding certifications of their consent to participate in the settlement: Aero Oil Company, Inc.; American National Can Company; American Coils Spring Co.; James River Paper Company, Inc. & James River Corporation of Virginia; Bush Concrete; C.W. Marsh Company; Checker Motors Corporation; Dale Schaap; Caddillac Plastic Group, Inc. f/ k/a Day International Corp.; EBW Inc.; Fort Wayne Pools; Georgia Pacific Corporation; Grav-I-Flo; Howmet Corporation; Cooper Industries, Inc.; Labeltape, Inc.; Label Technique, Inc. n/ k/a LTI Printing, Inc.; Champion International Corp.; Simpson Industries, Inc.; Port City Paints, Inc.; Robert's Packaging Corporation; Sunstrand Corporation; Wilson Sporting Goods Co.; The Leisure Group, Inc.; and Montgomery Ward & Co. Incorporated;

These parties will pay approximately \$2,000,000 in settlement payments for response costs related to the Thermo-Chem, Inc. Site, if the United States Environmental Protection Agency determines that it will not withdraw or withhold its consent to the proposed settlement after consideration of comments submitted pursuant to this notice.

U.S. EPA may enter into this settlement under the authority of Section 122(g) of CERCLA. Section 122(g) authorizes de minimis settlements with potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") that contributed hazardous substances to a site where those contributions were small and where the toxicity of the substances contributed is not significantly different from the other substances brought to the site. Pursuant to this authority, the agreement proposes to settle with parties who are responsible for less than 1% of the total volume of hazardous substances sent to the site. Settling de

minimis PRPs will be required to pay their fair share of the past and estimated future response costs at the site based on a payment of \$15.20 per gallon of hazardous substances that the party contributed to the Site. The settlement payment amount includes a premium of 135% against estimated future response costs to account for potential cost overruns, the potential for failure of the remedies selected to clean up the site, other risks, and the failure of settlors to participate in an earlier de minimis settlement.

A copy of the proposed administrative order on consent and additional background information relating to the settlement, including a list of parties to the settlement, are available for review and may be obtained in person or by mail from Ignacio L. Arrazola, Mail Code CS–29A, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency will receive written comments relating to this settlement for thirty days from the date of publication of this notice.

Authority: The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.*

Sally Avervill,

Acting Director, Office of Superfund, Region 5

[FR Doc. 96-2353 Filed 2-5-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

January 31, 1996.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commissions burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the

respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before [insert date 30 days after date of publication in the Federal Register]. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESS: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov and Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or fain t@a1.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval No.: 3060–0446. Title: Section 1.402 Pioneer's Preference.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 14.
Estimated Time Per Response: 500
hours for new applicants, 10 hours per respondent to amend existing applications.

. Total Annual Burden: 1,120 hours. Needs and Uses: The information will be used to evaluate existing pioneer's preference request in proceedings in which tentative decisions have not been made, as well as any new pioneer's preference requests that may be received. The collection requires that an applicant submit a statement that a new allocation of spectrum is necessary for its innovation to be implemented. Further, if the applicant relied on experimental results to demonstrate the technical feasibility of its innovation, it must submit a summary of those results. Additionally, for pioneer's preference requests filed after September 1, 1994, an applicant must submit a showing demonstrating that the Commission's public rulemaking process inhibits it from capturing the economic rewards of its innovation unless it is grated a pioneer's license.

Federal Communications Commission. William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–2435 Filed 2–5–96; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6712–01–F**